



Emergency committee for human rights
in Singapore _____

UPDATE 22

10 JUNE 1988

SINGAPORE HUMAN RIGHTS ALERT [PLUS MEDIA SUPPLEMENT]

Now detained without trial in Singapore:

Mr Vincent CHENG Kim Chuan
Mr CHEW Kheng Chuan
Ms CHNG Suan Tze
Mr Kevin Desmond DE SOUZA
Mr Francis SEOW

Ms TEO Soh Lung
Mr Kenneth TSANG Chi Seng
Ms WONG Souk Yee
Mr William YAP Hon Ngian

[also, detained for 22 years, Mr CHIA Thye Poh]

SEOW & 2 OTHERS RECEIVE SENTENCES WITHOUT TRIAL. CASES
DISMISSED. FENCING OUT FAMILIES. MASSES STOPPED.
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT PASSED. ELECTORAL DIVERSIONS. TAN WAH
PIOW & MI6. US GIVES WARNING. BAD FOR BUSINESS. INTERNATIONAL
REACTION: AUSTRALIA, US, CANADA, NETHERLANDS, UK, EUROPE, JAPAN,
THAILAND, PHILIPPINES, MALAYSIA, HONGKONG, AOTEAROA.

SENTENCE DECREED

Senior defence lawyer Francis SEOW was served with a one-year detention order upon expiry of his 30 day interrogation period on June 5. He had been held in solitary confinement and interrogated rigorously throughout his month's imprisonment by the secret police. Mr SEOW is not in good health (diabetes and heart) and was, on at least one occasion during his interrogation, taken to hospital. Coerced statutory declarations (SDs) by him while under interrogation give details of normal, legal and open behaviour by him as a defence lawyer for detainees and as a potential parliamentary candidate consulting widely with local politicians, diplomats and international media.

The govt has spent many pages of the STRAITS TIMES on his SDs, misconstruing his activities as promoting foreign interference. The govt has also implied financial impropriety by him but has failed to show any proof. It has ridiculed Mr SEOW's fiancée who lent him money to repay debts.

Having waged a month-long campaign against him almost daily in the local media, the govt now wishes to appear magnanimous by saying it will release him in time to stand for the elections. His "release" will be conditional and therefore a continuing sentence: release will be "subject to conditions preventing him from associating with foreign diplomats or travelling abroad."

* Jim Anderson M.P. * Jocelyn Armstrong * Katie Boanas * Prof J Burrows * Very Rev Dr Alan Brash * Jan Connack * Fr John Currow * Sonja Davies M.P. * Muzuka Henare * Canon Hong Kai

* Michael Knowles * Margaret Lovell-Smith * Archdeacon Alan McKenzie * Sir Guy Powles * Rev Barbara Stephens * Rev David M Taylor * Bishop Whakahuia Vercoe *

without permission from the Internal Security Dept Director." (ST 6.6.88) The Ministry of Home Affairs said the govt would not detain him "longer than necessary to deter him from reverting to his former activities".

OUTDOOR SENTENCES

Ms TANG Lay Lee and Ms NG Bee Leng were "released" on May 18 with severe conditions imposed on them which are actually sentences imposed without trial. They are not permitted to make public statements or address public meetings or hold office in, or take part or in any way assist in the activities of or act as adviser to, or be a member of any organisation or association without govt permission and they cannot associate with other ex-detainees. They cannot leave the country without govt permission. These conditions are more severe than those imposed on them upon their release last year.

After being told of these conditions Ms TANG refused to leave the secret police prison maintaining they were unconstitutional and that, since all were detained together, all the detainees should be released together. She was forced to leave.

DEFENCE LAWYER RELEASED

Mr Patrick SEONG, defence lawyer for several detainees last year, was released on May 18 on the expiry of the 30 day period by which, under the Internal Security Act, a person must be released or served with a detention order. He was released without conditions. However, it is known that, having been coerced to sign an SD, he can never claim that this was so because to contradict an SD after signing it is a criminal offence for which he could be disbarred and imprisoned. In addition, the govt has also planted a rumour that he is now acting as an agent for it. Also, being an ex-detainee himself, the other ex-detainees are prevented from associating with him and engaging him as legal counsel. His fearless defence of them last year can therefore not be repeated.

HABEAS CORPUS CASES DISMISSED

Legal attempts by detainees to show that their arrests were unlawful and to obtain release have been dismissed by the High Court. On May 18, applications for writs of habeas corpus on behalf of Ms TANG Lay Lee and Ms NG Bee Leng were dismissed and costs of \$12,000 awarded against them. Mr Geoffrey Robertson Q.C. from the UK represented the detainees. He argued that the detentions had been ordered by govt ministers not the police and that no reasons had been given by the police for the re-arrest and detention of his clients. Describing powers granted by the Internal Security Act as draconian, Mr Robertson said they should be used only against people threatening the country's security not against those causing political embarrassment, certainly not those issuing press statements. Despite the govt announcement during the court proceedings that the two were about to be released later that day, Mr Robertson insisted on proceeding

maintaining that even if they were kept for one more hour it would be illegal and too long.

On May 25 applications were made by Mr Kevin DE SOUZA, Ms WONG Souk Yee and Ms CHNG Suan Tze. They were also represented by Mr Robertson who claimed the govt acted in bad faith by re-arresting them when they had not breached any of the conditions of their release. Judgement was delivered on May 27: applications dismissed and costs awarded against detainees.

ANOTHER HEARING TO COME

Mr Anthony Paul Lester QC will represent MS TEO Soh Lung in her application for a writ of habeas corpus to be heard June 27-29 in the High Court. Mr Lester, who will travel from the UK, is also applying for 2 other orders, one declaring the detentions were unlawful and the other quashing the detentions.

ISA ADVISORY BOARD

Representations have been made by all the detainees (except Francis Seow but including Vincent Cheng) on June 9 to the Advisory Board set up under the Internal Security Act. Families and friends were able to see them briefly as they entered and left the courtroom where the Board sat. It was chaired by Justice Sinnathuray who has somewhat specialised in political trials. The Internal Security Dept pressured detainees' lawyers into signing a document that they would not distribute the written representations of the detainees to any "unauthorised" people including detainees' families. However, two lawyers refused to sign and, upon checking with the attorney-general's office, found that the ISD had no authority to make such a request.

The board met from 10.30 am to 4.45 pm. This board is set up by the govt and only has the power to advise the president. It is toothless. Its decisions will be used by the govt when it suits it to do so.

A DATE TO WATCH

The expiry date of the detention orders originally served last year on Kevin DE SOUZA, Ms TEO Soh Lung, Mr Kenneth TSANG, Ms WONG Souk Yee and Mr William YAP is on or about June 19. The govt will therefore need to renew the order or release them. The expiry of the one year detention orders of Mr CHEW Kheng Chuan & Ms CHNG Suan Tze is a month later.

FENCES BOARDED UP AT DETENTION CENTRE

Friends and families of the detainees have been congregating outside the Whitley Road Detention Centre on visiting day (Saturday) and clapping, cheering, waving balloons, turning on car cassettes and singing as they see the detainees being led out of their prison to the visitors' building. To discourage this display of solidarity, the fences have been boarded up and visiting times have been split into Friday and Saturday.

FAMILY VISITS

Frustrated by their separation from the detainees by thick glass and at having to talk through censored telephones which are constantly cut, some family members have communicated by shouting to their loved ones or by holding up written notes. The secret police retaliated by confiscating notes, papering over part of the glass and by restricting visits by those who "misbehave".

MASSES DISCONTINUED

The special Friday masses held for the detainees have been stopped by the Archbishop the same day that the Prime Minister criticised them in parliament on 27 May. PM Lee said the masses for both Catholics and non-Catholics was an act which pitted the church against the state. The final (and sixth) mass was held that evening and was, as usual, attended by about 1,000 people.

HUSBAND ISSUES STATEMENT

From London, Mr POON Cheuk Yeung, husband of lawyer Ms TANG Fong Har who avoided re-arrest for signing the detainees' public statement because she was in the UK, issued a press release countering govt accusations against her. He said there was nothing strange in the BBC interviewing her so quickly since "The BBC is not an underground organisation. A person does not need special connections to contact it." He reiterated her stand proclaiming her innocence and saying she does not retract anything from the joint detainees' statement of April 18. He called on the govt to hold an impartial public inquiry into the detainees' allegations.

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS PASSED

On 18 May, amidst its diversions of court cases and accusations of foreign interference, the govt passed its deeply unpopular "team MP" system into law which will make it virtually impossible for opposition parties to gain substantial representation in parliament. The same day the govt announced it is drafting legislation to have the president elected rather than appointed; "a long anticipated first step to Prime Minister Lee Kuan Yew's semi-retirement to a presidency with enhanced powers". (FEER, 2 June 88)

ELECTORAL DIVERSIONS

As it enters its electoral campaign the govt has sought to divert public attention away from its human rights abuses and its gerrymandering of the electoral system by a welter of accusations against Francis Seow, the US and human rights organisations which have taken up many pages in the controlled STRAITS TIMES on an almost daily basis:

- A parliamentary "debate" (with only 1 opposition member) lasting a week in late May on foreign interference and the ISA provided the platform for repeated attacks by a stream of govt speakers. PM Lee Kuan Yew gave a lengthy speech about the history of communist activity in Singapore and claimed that the

present detainees represent communist penetration of the English-educated.

- The coerced SDs of Francis Seow and Patrick Seong have been often cited to imply dishonesty by human rights organisations such as ASIA WATCH (Washington) and AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL (London) and to lambast the US. Seow's SD said that he told the two human rights bodies that certain of the detainees told him when he visited them in prison that they had not been assaulted. The subsequent allegations of mistreatment made by these organisations is thus claimed by the govt to be a knowing lie. The circumstances in which the detainees spoke to Mr Seow and in which the SD itself was made and the fact that he referred to only a few of the detainees are conveniently ignored by the govt.
- Hoping to intimidate overseas Singaporeans, Home Affairs Minister Jayakumar named in parliament on 18 May some of those he thinks are active in human rights work in UK, USA, Hongkong, Australia and NZ and who have connections with the detainees.
- In a transparent attempt to neutralise former President Devan Nair, the govt has implicated him through Francis Seow's statements that he went to Mr Nair for political advice. Mr Nair has responded by saying that, in lobbying for foreign support, Francis SEOW has done nothing that PM Lee had not done during his political career. Further, the former president commented, "if giving political advice and assistance to a fellow Singaporean makes him my mouthpiece, then Lee Kuan Yew also shared that distinction. I had occasion over a lengthy period of years to assist him with drafts and opinions on a variety of subjects." He repeated his call for the PM to step down because "he's making a mess of everything". Mr Lee has begun proceedings through his family law firm, Lee & Lee, to sue Mr Nair for alleged libel.
- On June 1 PM Lee proposed in parliament that an international panel be set up with the agreement of the US to adjudicate "their row over the Hendrickson affair". Having fabricated the row in the first place, the PM is requesting the US to dignify it with a quasi-legal resolution process. Undoubtedly, whatever the US response, this proposal will give the govt much more diversionary material and enable it to posture that it is trying hard to patch things up
- In the same speech, PM Lee introduced yet another element into the Marxist-CIA plot: British military intelligence. Saying Tan Wah Piow has "other links" as well as the Communist Party of Malaya, he continued: "What's he doing in London? Why is he doing so well? What's he doing in Oxford? Very fishy, isn't it? Why has British Special Branch or MI6 nothing to tell us? Well, we draw our own conclusions. He may have more than one loyalty. So be it. But that doesn't mean Vincent Cheng was working for MI6."

US WARNS OF DAMAGE

On May 25 US State Dept spokesperson, Charles Redman, said at an official press briefing: "The constant repetition of the baseless charges against them (US diplomats) and the US government can only serve to damage the traditionally close and cooperative relations between our countries." "The United States is not, and has not been, engaged in interference in Singapore's domestic affairs," he said. He called the charges "gratuitous and unfounded".

BAD FOR BUSINESS

The cumulative effect of recent actions by the govt has begun to undermine long-term international business confidence. ASIAN INTELLIGENCE, an independent fortnightly report for business by Political and Economic Risk Consultancy Ltd, said on May 18 that the restriction on information flow is bad enough but the fight with the US "will cause corporate head offices in the US and, quite possibly, elsewhere, to sit up and take notice." It continued that "our own assessment from talking with clients is that more businessmen are starting to ask if Singapore might not be heading for a host of major problems further down the road that could be very difficult to resolve."

The Washington-based Business Environment Risk Information (BERI) recently classified Singapore as still a low risk investment environment but noted that "its sociopolitical environment suffers from paternalistic control". The report stated that the team MP electoral change means "in reality the govt is making it far more difficult for opposition members to be elected". BERI expects the PM's son to be promoted to Deputy PM after the early elections, taking the premiership itself by the end of the decade. Economically, it predicted "the 1988-89 period will see a real decline in the rate of export expansion which will cause a slowdown in GDP to 5% this year and near-zero in 1989."

Merill Lynch issued a report on Singapore's economy which was reported in the South China Morning Post (Hongkong) of 1 June: "Growth in Singapore's gross domestic product will fall to seven per cent this year from last year's 8.8 per cent." The GDP "could fall further next year - to 4 or 5% - with the beginning of a US-centred economic downturn."

INTERNATIONAL REACTION * * * * *

AUSTRALIA

On May 14, the Catholic Bishops' Committee for Justice, Development and Peace issued a statement criticising the arrests under internal security legislation in Singapore and Malaysia, saying that "there seems no evidence that an internationally acceptable legal process" has been followed, calling on Catholics to pray for the detainees and requesting the Australian Govt to

use its influence. The Committee is chaired by Archbishop William Foley of Perth.

The same Committee issued a press release on May 23 in which the bishops questioned the use of the Internal Security Act and held that their concern for the detainees was soundly based in Catholic social teaching.

On May 20, Catholic Archbishop Leonard Faulkner of Adelaide said Australian tourists should boycott Singapore as a holiday destination until detainees in Singapore are given a fair trial. "I do not think anyone should have a holiday in Singapore while the Govt is acting so unjustly," he said.

On May 26 in Melbourne, Catholic Bishop George Pell preached at a mass to commemorate the first anniversary of the detentions last year. "Our common humanity, our common Christian faith and regional solidarity give us a right to speak, to send words of encouragement across the seas to those who are suffering," he said. "We deplore the increase in intimidation and paranoia."

The Foreign Affairs Committee of Victoria State Branch of the governing Labour Party passed a resolution expressing grave concern at the violation of human rights in Singapore and Malaysia. The Committee called for the repeal of the ISA, the unconditional release or trial of ISA detainees, a review of Australian military aid and an assurance that such aid is not used for purposes of internal security.

In Melbourne earlier this year a new organisation was formed called the Campaign for Human Rights in Malaysia and Singapore. It is now actively campaigning against the ISA detentions.

Australian politicians intend to raise the ISA detentions at the August meeting of the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association.

USA

A congressional resolution expressing concern over the deteriorating human rights situation in Singapore has been circulating since May 23. The two Congress members sponsoring the resolution say in their introduction to it, "The Singapore government's actions have been astonishing. Rather than deterring improper foreign influence, its efforts should be seen as a series of measures designed to intimidate various segments of the population - opposition politicians, the church, the legal profession, and the universities - into conformity and to diminish their contacts with foreigners.

The draft expresses concern over these restrictions, calls upon the Singapore govt to release peaceful political opponents and permit them to exercise their rights of free speech and association and urges the State dept to continue to meet with all

segments of Singapore society and to raise human rights concerns prominently in its dealings with govt officials.

The National Council of Churches in the USA has written to Lee Kuan Yew on May 3 urging the immediate release of the detainees "while their allegations of mistreatment are investigated by the appropriate judicial authorities."

On May 23, the Congressional Friends of Human Rights Monitors, a bi-partisan group of 29 senators and 133 members of the House of Representatives, wrote to the Singapore Ambassador expressing their regret at the recent arrest of lawyers Patrick Seong and Francis Seow. "We are concerned that Mr Seong was imprisoned for more than four weeks because of his efforts to defend the rights of political prisoners who were re-arrested following their public denunciation of their treatment during detention." They urged the release of Mr Seow without condition, regretted the apparent reprisal against ex-detainees for publicly describing their treatment under detention and hoped that Mr Seong's release "signifies an end to government harrassment of human rights advocates."

The New York-based Committee for Justice in Singapore has continued to inform the American public with regular press releases and has also written to many senators and congress members on the issue.

UPDATE has been informed that there is now a wide awareness among US leaders of Singapore's abuse of human rights. This is also noticeable in the main media with an article like the one in the New York Times (May 15) by Anthony Lewis on the Israeli occupation of Gaza. Noting the practice of govts detaining defence lawyers, he said "That happens in the sort of tyranny Singapore has become. It has happened in Israeli-occupied Gaza."

CANADA

Amnesty International (Canada) has launched a campaign to publicise the re-arrests and to press for the detainees' release.

NETHERLANDS

Two Dutch church leaders representing the 10 million Christians who are members of the Council of Churches of the Netherlands went to see the Singapore ambassador in May to protest the expulsion of the Christian Conference of Asia from Singapore and the deteriorating relationship between state and society in Singapore with church workers and others being detained. A 9 May press release by the Netherlands Reformed Church outlined the very direct discussions between the Secretary of the Missionary Council of the Netherlands, the Secretary General of the Netherlands Reformed Church and the ambassador.

SWITZERLAND

The Geneva-based World Council of Churches will receive a recommendation at its Central Committee meeting that it should no longer pay for travel by church representatives on Singapore Airlines and that its member churches should be asked to do the same. The recommendation comes from the WCC's Churches' Commission on International Affairs which decided on the action at its May meeting in Cyprus.

UK

The President of the Law Society of England and Wales wrote to the Singapore Home Affairs Minister and the Attorney-General twice (on 28 April and 19 May) stressing his concern over the re-arrests of the detainees (especially lawyer Ms TEO Soh Lung), Mr Seong and Mr Seow. He expressed concern that the rule of law and the principle that lawyers should be able freely to practise their profession be upheld. The President of the Law Society also said he wrote in support of similar letters sent by the President of the International Bar Association on 26 April and 12 May.

SIMBA, the newsletter of the Singaporean and Malaysian British Association devoted most of its May issue to the Singapore arrests giving full details of the April 18 detainees' statement and their subsequent arrest. Editorially it commented on the absurdity of the arrests, "If Singapore's Third Stage drama group wanted to write a play to expose the leaders of their country today all they would need to do is chronicle current events. The result would be a memorable contribution to the theatre of the absurd." It continued by saying that, with its members arrested and stigmatised by the "Marxist conspiracy", the group cannot put plays on any more. (SIMBA: 20c Breakspears Road, London SE4 1UW)

EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

On 19 May a resolution was adopted by the European Parliament calling for the immediate release of all the detainees or "open, public and fair trial at the earliest possible occasion". It deplored "the persecution of these people by the Singapore authorities", considered the application of the Internal Security Act "incompatible with the Rule of Law and the principles of parliamentary democracy" and instructed its President to forward the resolution to the Singapore govt.

JAPAN

On May 17, 36 Japanese politicians wrote to PM Lee urging the release of the 8 people re-arrested. They said they were "deeply surprised and concerned about the arrests". A delegation presented the letter at the Singapore Embassy in Tokyo.

THAILAND

On May 14, Thai human rights groups and students staged a protest outside the hotel where PM Lee Kuan Yew was staying in Bangkok. The groups had planned a river boat protest outside the prestigious riverside Oriental Hotel (where PM Lee was meeting the Thai PM) and decorated two ferry boats with large banners in Thai and English and with balloons. However police harrassment prevented them from taking the boats in front of the hotel. They finally formed a parade, carrying the banners and placards with messages such as "Love Lee, Love Dictator", "Barbarian Lee Get Out" and "No Human Rights in Singapore". They read out protest statements in Thai and English in front of the hotel demanding the immediate release of the detainees or an open trial. The protest was extensively covered in the Bangkok media with photos and articles.

Earlier, on May 6, the same groups submitted a protest letter to the Singapore Embassy in Bangkok. On May 27 a seminar was held for local organisations and the Union for Civil Liberties on "NICs and Human Rights in Asian Countries". (NICs=Newly Industrialised Countries.)

PHILIPPINES

On May 11 the Protestant Lawyers' League of the Philippines wrote to the Singapore ambassador in Manila condemning "in the strongest terms possible the arbitrary and uncivilized manner with which your government, which professes to be democratic, has dealt with lawful dissent". The League urged the immediate release of the detainees without conditions or a fair, speedy, public trial.

MALAYSIA

On May 1 the National Committee for the Defence of Human Rights in Malaysia (BELA) condemned the Singapore govt for the re-arrest of the detainees and for not proceeding with the inquiry into their allegations of mistreatment. It said the reason given for cancelling the inquiry "is wholly untenable and cannot be accepted... We in BELA therefore call on the Singapore govt to release immediately and unconditionally all those detained...and to hold a public inquiry into allegations of torture made by the detainees." On May 7 BELA issued another statement condemning

the detention of Mr Francis SEOW for its "ruthlessness" and called it a "cowardly act". It continued, "The whole world now knows that the truth shakes the Singapore govt. The whole world knows that the Singapore govt is too afraid of the truth [being] told."

HONGKONG

The Committee of the Hongkong Bar Association and the council of the Law Society of Hongkong have issued a statement urging the Singapore govt to observe and uphold the rule of law. "If Mr Seow has committed any offence, he should be charged without delay and brought to trial as soon as possible. If he is not alleged to have committed any offence he should be released forthwith." The Hongkong section of Amnesty International has also urged Singapore to release Vincent Cheng.

The Hongkong Federation of Students wrote to the Hongkong Standard calling for a public inquiry into the allegations of a Marxist conspiracy, for the immediate release of the detainees, for an inquiry into alleged inhumane treatment under detention, for the immediate release of Francis Seow and for the abolition of the Internal Security Act.

A new publication in Chinese and English, the Bulletin on Human Rights in Singapore, came out on 17 May with its first issue. It is sponsored by 12 organisations of Christians, students and workers in Hongkong. It reports that 30 representatives of 18 church and social organisations in Hongkong handed a protest letter addressed to PM Lee Kuan Yew to the Singapore Commission in Hongkong. They later marched through Central district to the Singapore Airlines office where they gave out leaflets and sang protest songs demanding "no more Internal Security Act". (Address for subscription: SCM, Block 1, 12th Floor, Kiu Kin Mansion, 568 Nathan Road, Kowloon, HKG.)

In another action, Hongkong church groups and others leafletted passengers taking Singapore Airlines flights to Singapore on May 22. Headed "A Salute to Courageous Singaporeans - From Hongkong", it began by saluting the detainees "for their love of their country, for their belief in the truth and social justice, and, most of all, for their words and deeds in defence of their right to so love and so believe in the face of overwhelming brute force".

AOTEAROA

On May 16, a meeting with member of parliament Mr Jim Anderton, reporting back on his attendance at the May 6 habeas corpus hearings in Singapore, proved more popular than organisers in Christchurch had expected. The meeting had to move from a committee room to a large hall to accommodate the hundred people who came. Mr Anderton was shocked by the climate of fear and the

restriction of human rights which he found in Singapore and said he would be reporting his findings to the parliamentary Select Committee on Foreign Affairs of which he is a member. He said the Singapore High Commissioner in New Zealand would be asked to appear before the Committee to explain the detentions.

NZ lawyer Mr Bruce Slane, Councillor of the Law Association for Asia and the Pacific (LAWASIA), issued a press statement in late May deploring the detention of lawyers in Singapore. He criticised the "disgraceful conduct" of the Singapore govt in arresting Mr Francis Seow, saying such conduct "is causing lawyers in the LAWASIA region deep concern". "The Singapore govt has given a clear message to lawyers in Singapore that if they act for detainees they will themselves be detained," said Mr Slane. He continued, "Mr Anderton was quite right to draw the seriousness of this situation to New Zealanders' [attention] as we have commercial relations with the country... Business people will have to ask whether the same measures will be used against them or whether the lawyers they use in Singapore will be intimidated from properly representing their commercial interests in that country when they come up against govt departments or corporations favoured by the govt." Mr Slane's statement was published in full on the front page of the Auckland District Law Society's June newsletter and is to be carried in the next edition of the NZ Law Society's newsletter, Lawtalk.

MEDIA COVERAGE

To indicate the very extensive international media coverage that recent events in Singapore have gained, UPDATE is appending a MEDIA SUPPLEMENT listing the main news items, feature articles and editorials that we have noted. They represent only a fraction of the coverage. In the supplement we also reprint a selection of key articles. We do this so that groups and organisations around the world may know of the effectiveness of their work. Undoubtedly, there has been a major influence on international public opinion by such exposure of the abuse of human rights by the Singapore govt.

EMERGENCY COMMITTEE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS IN SINGAPORE
P O Box 28-100, Christchurch, Aotearoa-New Zealand



BANGKOK

NATIONPHOTO

HUMAN rights activists display banners protesting detention of political activists in Singapore.